



CRL OP(MD). No.10152 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 04/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.10152 of 2026

Mohamedmusharaf

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu,
Siruganur Police Station,
Tiruchy District,
(Crime No. 134 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Arjun

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No. 134 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.10152 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 17.05.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 310(2) and 311 of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.134 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 16.05.2026, at about 02.25 a.m., while the defacto complainant was travelling on his two wheeler near Akkampatti Kallupuliyar kovil, he was intercepted by the accused persons and took him in a car bearing Registration No.TN 45 BU 9078. Thereafter, the petitioner and other accused persons threatened him with knife point and demanded money from him. Since the defacto complainant did not have any cash with him, the accused persons transferred a sum of Rs.1,22,400/- from the defacto complainant's mobile phone and took away his mobile phone and fled from the scene, leaving behind the key of the defacto complainant's two wheeler. Hence, the complaint.



CRL OP(MD), No.10152 of 2026

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 17.05.2026. He would further submit that the co-accused have already been enlarged on bail. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that investigation in this case is still pending and the offences are grave in nature. He would further submit that the petitioner has no previous cases. However, he vehemently, opposed to grant of bail.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and considering the fact that the



CRL OP(MD), No.10152 of 2026

petitioner has no previous cases and the petitioner is not a named accused in the FIR and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner from 17.05.2026 as well as the fact that the co-accused have already been enlarged on bail, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

*[a] Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi**, and on further conditions that:*

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.10152 of 2026

any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
04.06.2026

PNM



CRL OP(MD). No.10152 of 2026

TO

WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate. Lalgudi
2. Do-Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Trichy District.
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Siruganur, Siruganur Police Station,
Trichy District,
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10152 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

PNM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10152 of 2026

Date : 04/06/2026