



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10065 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 04.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 10065 of 2026

L.Aravinth

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Cyber Crime Wing,
Tiruchirappalli, Tiruchirappalli District.
(Crime No.72 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 72 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2) and 318(4) of BNS in Crime No. 72 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10065 of 2026


WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant had handed over a sum of Rs.72 lakhs on various dates for the purpose of purchase of digital currency to the accused person. But, upon receipt of the same, the accused neither purchased the digital currency as promised nor returned the amount as alleged by the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner has no acquaintance with the defacto complainant. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. The petitioner has cheated a sum of Rs.72 lakhs with the defacto complainant. The accounts were also freezed. He vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10065 of 2026

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, there are business transactions between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, according to the prosecution already part of money was returned to the defacto complainant, already the account of the petitioner are also freezed by the respondent police and also considering that the petitioner has no previous case, and considering all other facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruchirappalli**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders:

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10065 of 2026

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
04.06.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruchirappalli.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Cyber Crime Wing,
Tiruchirappalli, Tiruchirappalli District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10065 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 10065 of 2026

Date : 04.06.2026