



CRL OP(MD). No.10352 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 08/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.10352 of 2026

Rituraj Jaiswal

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by
Inspector of Police, CCB Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
Crime No.24/2025.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Arun Murugan,

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

To enlarge the petitioner on bai in connection with CC.No.1381 of 2025 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Thoothukudi in Crime N.24 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

1/7



CRL OP(MD), No.10352 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who was surrendered and remanded to judicial custody on 27.04.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4) of BNS Act & 66(D) of IT Act, in Crime No.24 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused persons had called the defacto complainant and threatened that they are calling from Mumbai CBI and cheated a sum of Rs. 50,00,000/-. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner was already granted interim bail and as per the order of this Court, dated 28.11.2025, he has deposited a sum of Rs.1 lakh to the credit of crime number before the concerned Magistrate Court. Again on 03.02.2026, this Court directed the petitioner to deposit a sum of Rs.9,00,000/- to the credit of crime number before the concerned Magistrate Court. Since the

2/7



CRL OP(MD), No.10352 of 2026

petitioner could not comply with the aforesaid condition, the interim bail granted to him was vacated by this Court on 16.02.2026 and thereby, he was surrendered before the Prison authorities on 27.04.2026. Hence, he seeks bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner has not complied with the conditions imposed by this Court vide order, dated 03.02.2026, thereby, interim bail granted to him was vacated by this Court and directed him to surrender. Though investigation has been completed in this case, considering the nature of grave offence and non-compliance of conditions by the petitioner, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of the offence and the fact that the




CRL OP(MD), No.10352 of 2026

petitioner was already granted interim bail by this Court on 28.11.2025 and as per the order of this Court, he has also deposited a sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- before the concerned Magistrate and also considering the fact that the co-accused have already been enlarged on bail and in this case after completion of investigation, charge sheet has been laid before the concerned Court, as well as the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

*[a] Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Thoothukudi**, and on further conditions that:*

*[b] the petitioner shall report before the **Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Thoothukudi** daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;*

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of



CRL OP(MD), No.10352 of 2026

WEB COPY

the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
08.06.2026

PNM

5/7



CRL OP(MD). No.10352 of 2026

WEB COPY

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Thoothukudi
2. Do-Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Tuticorin District.
3. The Superintendent, District Jail, Perurani, Thoothukudi District.
4. The Inspector of Police, CCB Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10352 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

PNM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10352 of 2026

Date : 08/06/2026