



Arb Appeal (MD)No.48 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **05.06.2026**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE V.LAKSHMINARAYANAN**

Arb Appeal (MD)No.48 of 2026
and
C.M.P.(MD)Nos.6747 and 6748 of 2026

Nirmal Bang Securities Private Limited,
Having its Registered Office Address at
101-701, B Wing, 4th Floor,
Khandelwal House, Poddar Road, Malad (East),
Mumbai, Maharashtra, 400 097,
Represented by its Authorised Signatory,
Mr.Arul Selvan,
Now R.Vijayakumar

... Appellant

vs.

Mrs.Premananth Nevetha,
40, Thanga Vila, S.Nagar,
Kumbakonam – 612 001, Tamil Nadu,
PAN : AWJPN8524H

... Respondent

PRAYER: Arbitration Petition filed under Section 37(1)(c) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, to call for the entire records pertaining to the judgment and decree made in Arb.O.P.No.95 of 2025, dated 13.03.2026 by the learned Principal District Judge, Thanjavur, confirming the Arbitral award passed by the Sole Arbitrator in Arbitration Case No.NSE-SB-2024-09-827912 dated 11.01.2025 and set aside the same by allowing this appeal.

For Appellant : Mr.Kandarp Trivedi
For Mr.R.L.Dhilipan Pandian

For Respondent : Mr.K.Kaviarasan



Arb Appeal (MD)No.48 of 2026

JUDGMENT

WEB COPY

Heard Mr.Kandarp Trivedi for Mr.R.L.Dhilipan Pandian appearing for the appellant and Mr.K.Kaviarasan for the respondent.

2. Today, when the matter was taken up, Mr.R.Vijayakumar, the authorised representative of the appellant, was present. Mrs.Premananth Nevetha was present online. She was permitted to appear online on account of the advanced stage of pregnancy she is presently in.

3. I heard the parties and their respective counsel.

4. The arbitration proceedings had been initiated on account of the fact that the respondent had reported certain unauthorised trading at the instance of the appellant. It resulted in an award against the appellant on 11.01.2025. The petition to set aside the award came to be dismissed by the learned Principal District Judge, Thanjavur, on 13.03.2026. Aggrieved by the same, the present appeal has been filed invoking Section 37 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.



Arb Appeal (MD)No.48 of 2026

WEB COPY

5. Insofar as the terms of the compromise are concerned, Mrs.Premananth Nevetha is agreeable to all the clauses other than Clause No.7. She stands by her statement that the trading carried on by the appellant was unauthorised. However, she wants to put an end to the agony of the litigation, by entering into the terms of compromise. She agrees that she has received the demand draft in terms of Clause 6(3).

6. Hence, the compromise is recorded with respect to all the terms other than Clause No.7. The appeal stands **disposed of** accordingly. The terms of compromise shall form a part of this order. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

05.06.2026

Index :Yes / No

Internet :Yes / No

NCC :Yes / No

Nsr

Note: Issue Order Copy on 09.06.2026.

To:

The Principal District Judge, Thanjavur.



WEB COPY



Arb Appeal (MD)No.48 of 2026

V.LAKSHMINARAYANAN, J.

Nsr

Arb Appeal (MD)No.48 of 2026

05.06.2026