



CRL OP(MD). No.10044 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 03/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD). No.10044 of 2026

1. Sasikumar,
No.2/148d, Vskd Nagar,
Sengamalanatchiyarpuram,
Satchiyapuram, Sivakasi Taluk,
Virudhunagar District..

2. Pushpa,
W/o.Sasikumar,
No.2/148d, Vskd Nagar,
Sengamalanatchiyarpuram,
Satchiyapuram,
Sivakasi Taluk,
Virudhunagar District..

... Petitioners/A1 and A2


Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruthangal Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No. 173 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Veerapandiselvaraj S.,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



CRL OP(MD). No.10044 of 2026

WEB COPY

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- C-38AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 173 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 and A2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.173 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on the date of occurrence, the defacto complainant went to redeem the pledged jewellery belonging to his wife, at that time, the petitioners abused him in filthy language and also attacked him and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.



CRL OP(MD). No.10044 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that it is a case in counter case. a counter complaint has been registered against the defacto complainant in Crime No.174 of 2026. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and the petitioners have no previous cases. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD). No.10044 of 2026

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials

WEB COPY

available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and the counter complaint has been registered against the defacto complainant in Crime No.174 of 2026 and in the counter complaint, anticipatory bail was granted to the defacto complainant and the petitioners have no previous cases and also considering the fact that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and most of the investigation might have been completed and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the



CRL OP(MD). No.10044 of 2026

WEB COPY

date on which the order copy made ready,
before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Sivakasi**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD). No.10044 of 2026

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
03.06.2026

vsg



CRL OP(MD). No.10044 of 2026

WEB COPY

TO

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Sivakasi.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruthangal Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD) . No.10044 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.10044 of 2026

Date : 03/06/2026