



CRL OP(MD). No.10034 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 03/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD). No.10034 of 2026

Subbaiah,
S/o. Rajamanickam,
Thiruvellarai Village,
Tiruchy District..

... Petitioner/Accused No.2
Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep. by,
The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption Wing,
Pudukkottai.
(Crime No. 6 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant


For Petitioner : Mr.N.R.Murugesan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-33AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.
67 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.10034 of 2026


WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/A2, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 13(2) r/w 13(1)(a) of Prevention of Corruption Act and Sections 465, 468, 470, 420 r/w 120(B) of IPC, in Crime No.6 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that during the years 2019 to 2022, the petitioner along with other accused persons, in order to cheat the Government and to make unlawful gains, fabricated false documents and registered a sale deeds without obtaining mandatory Government approvals. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent



CRL OP(MD). No.10034 of 2026

WEB COPY

person and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that the petitioner acted as document writer and no other specific overt act attributed against the petitioner. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the petitioner and other accused persons had fabricated false documents and registered a sale deeds without obtaining mandatory Government approvals. He would further submit that the petitioner has no previous cases. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.


5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD). No.10034 of 2026

WEB COPY 6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and also considering the fact that the petitioner has no previous cases and the occurrence took place during the years 2019 to 2022, the FIR has been registered on 25.03.2026 and hence, there is a delay in registering the FIR and the material part of the investigation might have been completed and the petitioner acted as document writer and there is no specific overtact attributed against the petitioner and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready,



CRL OP(MD). No.10034 of 2026

WEB COPY

before the **learned District Sessions**

Judge, Trichy, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:


[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial



CRL OP(MD). No.10034 of 2026

WEB COPY

Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
03.06.2026

vsg



CRL OP(MD). No.10034 of 2026

WEB COPY

TO

- 1.The learned District Sessions Judge, Trichy.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption Wing,
Pudukkottai.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD) . No.10034 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10034 of 2026

Date : 03/06/2026