



CRL OP(MD). No.10037 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 03/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD). No.10037 of 2026

Perumal,
S/o. Kathappan,
No.89, Pillayar Kovil Street,
Rastha, Madhavakurichi,
Tirunelveli District..

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Manur Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No. 591 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant


For Petitioner : Mr.Muthuram R,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-33AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.
591 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.10037 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Sole Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman Act, 2002, in Crime No.591 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is a married womne and got divorce. Thereafter, the petitioner, who is a relative of the defacto complainant got acquainted with her and forced her to speak with him via phone. When the defacto complainant denied to pickup the petitioner's call, the petitioner on 09.05.2026, went to the house of the defacto complainant and abused her in filthy language and also assaulted her with stick and



CRL OP(MD). No.10037 of 2026

threatened her with dire consequences. Hence,

WEB COPY this case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature. He would further submit that the petitioner has no previous cases. He would further submit that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.10037 of 2026


5. Heard both sides and perused the materials

WEB COPY

available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and also considering the fact that as the date of occurrence is 09.05.2026, by this time material part of the investigation might have been completed and the petitioner has no previous cases and the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready,



CRL OP(MD). No.10037 of 2026

WEB COPY

before the **learned Additional Mahila Court, Tirunelveli District**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of 30 days and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during



CRL OP(MD). No.10037 of 2026

investigation or trial.

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
03.06.2026

vsg



CRL OP(MD). No.10037 of 2026

WEB COPY

- TO
- 1.The learned Additional Mahila Court,
Tirunelveli District.
 - 2.The Inspector of Police,
Manur Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
 3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD) . No.10037 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.10037 of 2026

Date : 03/06/2026