



CRL OP(MD). No. 9905 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 9905 of 2026

M. Suresh

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Bazaar Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District
(Crime No.110 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Murugan

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian

Counsel for State of Tamil Nadu (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.110 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 9905 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A9, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 318(4) of BNS in Crime No.110 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused persons themselves introduced as Income Tax Officials entered into shop and had taken Rs.5,00,000/- kept in the room and Rs.1,10,000/- in the cash box and eight android phones. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and a false case has been foisted against him. The petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged crime. He would further submit that based on the confession statement given by the co-accused this petitioner has been implicated as an accused. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that accused persons themselves introduced as Income Tax Officials entered into

2/6




CRL OP(MD). No. 9905 of 2026

shop and had taken Rs.5,00,000/- kept in the room and Rs.1,10,000/- in the cash box and eight android phones. He would further submit that two previous cases are pending against the petitioner, hence he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side, considering the nature of offences and also considering the fact that the petitioner is not a named accused in the First Information Report and even as per the FIR only eight persons involved in the occurrence and also the fact this petitioner has been implicated as an accused based on the confession statement given by the co-accused and the fact that though the petitioner has previous cases those cases are not similar kind of offences and also considering all other factors, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram,



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 9905 of 2026

on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 9905 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
09.06.2026

aav

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Bazaar Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 9905 of 2026
P. DHANABAL, J

aav

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 9905 of 2026

Date : 09.06.2026