

CRL OP(MD). No.9849 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 27/05/2026

PRESENT


The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD). No.9849 of 2026

1. Velmurugan,  
S/o. Mayandi Devar,  
No. 24, 2nd Street,  
Renganathapuram,  
Aundipatti,  
Myladumparai,  
Kadamalaikudu,  
Theni District.

2. Kesavan,  
S/o. Mayandi,  
Renganathapuram,  
Aundipatti,  
Kadamalaikudu,  
Theni District.

3. Senthil @ Senthilkumar,  
S/o. Jeyaraj,  
No. 10, 1st Street,  
Renganathapuram,  
Aundipatti,  
Kadamalaikudu,  
Theni District.



CRL OP(MD), No.9849 of 2026

4. Muthu @ Muthukumar,  
S/o. Murugan,  
Renganathapuram,  
Aundipatti,  
Kadamalaikudu,  
Theni District.

... Petitioners/ Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu  
Rep., By the Inspector of Police,  
Myladumparai Police Station,  
Theni District.  
(Crime No. 124 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s. Mandhiralingeswaran.S.,  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.D.Venkatesh,  
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 124 of 2026 on the file of the  
respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 to A4, who apprehend arrest at the  
hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under

2/7



CRL OP(MD), No.9849 of 2026

Sections 329(4), 296(b), 118(1), 127(2) and 351(3) of BNS, in Cr.No.

124 of 2026, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 28.04.2026, when the defacto complainant was in house, the accused persons trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant, picked up a quarrel, abused him in filthy language, attacked him and caused injuries and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Counsel for the State of Tamil Nadu (Crl.Side) strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail on the ground that A3 is having one previous case. He would fairly submit that the




CRL OP(MD), No.9849 of 2026

injured was discharged from the hospital.

WEB COPY

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the facts that the injured was already discharged from the hospital, that except the offence under Section 118(1) of BNS, all other offences are bailable in nature and that A1 to A4 are not having any previous case for serious offences, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, but with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Andipatti, Theni District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the



CRL OP(MD), No.9849 of 2026

satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:


(a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the



CRL OP(MD), No.9849 of 2026

Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005)*

WEB COPY *AIR SCW 5560*]; and;


(f)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

(K M S J)  
27.05.2026

SJI

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court, Andipatti, Theni District.
2. Do-Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,  
Theni District.
3. The Inspector of Police,  
Myladumparai Police Station,  
Theni District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.9849 of 2026

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J

SJI

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.9849 of 2026

Date : 27/05/2026