



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9821 of 2026

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9821 of 2026

WEB COPY

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.

This matter is listed today, under the caption 'for being mentioned' at the instance of the learned counsel for the petitioners.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that this Court, by order dated 27.05.2026 in Crl.O.P.(MD) No. 9821 of 2026, granted anticipatory bail to the petitioners. However, in the certified copy of the said order, the name of the first petitioner has been wrongly mentioned as "S. Udayakannan" instead of "Udayakannan".and hence, he seeks necessary corrections in the said order.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and also perused the certified copy of the order, dated 27.05.2026 in Crl.O.P.(MD) No. 9821 of 2026

4. Accordingly, the Registry is directed to carry out the necessary corrections in the certified copy of the order and issue fresh order copy to the parties concerned.

02.06.2026

das



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9821 of 2026

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.

das

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9821 of 2026

Dated: 02.06.2026



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9821 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 27.05.2026

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP (MD) . No.9821 of 2026

1.S.Udayakannan

2.Rajagopal ... Petitioners / Accused Nos.1and 3

Vs

State through
The Inspector of Police,
Salaigramam Police Station,
Sivagangai.
(Crime No.54 of 2026)

... Respondent/
Complainant


For Petitioners : Mr.G.Marichamy,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.T.Lenin Kumar,
Counsel for State of Tamil Nadu
(Crl. Side).

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 482 of
B.N.S.S.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.54 of 2026 on the
file of the Respondent police.



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9821 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) BNS in Crime No.54 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there existed temple festival dispute between the parties, on 16.05.2026, the petitioners and other accused are said to have abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted him and caused injuries and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4. The learned Counsel for the State of Tamil Nadu (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that this is a case and case in counter case. He would further submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital.




Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9821 of 2026

WEB COPY

5. It is not in dispute that a counter case came to be registered in Crime No.53 of 2026 for the offences under Sections 189(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) BNS. According to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as the learned counsel for State of Tamil Nadu (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent Police, the Accused Nos.2 and 4 in this crime had already obtained anticipatory bail before this Court in Crl.O.P. (MD).No.9734 of 2026 on 20.05.2026.

6. Considering the facts that there existed temple festival dispute between the parties, that the injured was already discharged from the hospital and also taking note of the fact that the case in counter case is pending and also taking note of the fact that Accused Nos.2 and 4 in this crime have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9821 of 2026

of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ilayangudi, Sivagangai District on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:


[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

[b]the petitioners shall report before the respondent police on every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala***



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9821 of 2026

[(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

WEB COPY

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS, 2023.

(K M S J)
27.05.2026

TSG
TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Ilayankudi, Sivagangai District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Salaigramam Police Station, Sivagangai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9821 of 2026

K.MURALI SHANKAR, J

TSG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) . No.9821 of 2026

Date : 27.05.2026



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9821 of 2026