

CRL OP(MD), No.9822 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 27/05/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP (MD) No.9822 of 2026

Rengaram @ Shanmugasundaram

... Petitioner
/A2

Vs

State of Tamilnadu
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Trichy City.
Crime No.9/2026.

... Respondent/
Complainant

For Petitioner : C.Karthikeyan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.T.Lenin Kumar,
Counsel for State of Tamil Nadu,
(Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.9 of 2026 on
the file of the Respondent Police.




CRL OP(MD), No.9822 of 2026

WEB COPY ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/A2, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 318(2) and 351(2) of BNS, in Crime No.9 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the 1st accused approached the defacto complainant and introduced herself as Bank employee and by promising to arrange a bride and a bank job for him, she received a sum of Rs.70 lakhs and 15 sovereign of gold from the defacto complainant and thereby, cheated him. According to the prosecution, when the defacto complainant demanded the 1st accused to repay the said amount, she had given a phone number of so called Shanmugasundaram, bride's father. He has returned a sum of Rs.90,000/- on various occasion, however, he failed to return the entire amount as promised by him. Thereafter, the defacto complainant



CRL OP(MD), No.9822 of 2026


came to know that the person talked to him as Shanmugasundaram is none other than the petitioner.

Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that in this case, totally, there are three accused and the petitioner herein is arrayed as A2. The petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the defacto complainant gave all money and jewels to the 1st accused and he neither received any money nor jewels from the defacto complainant and he has no nexus with the 1st accused. Therefore, he prayed this Court to grant anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Counsel for State of Tamil Nadu (Crl. Side) would submit that the investigation is going on.

5.Considering the nature of offence and also taking note of the amount involved in this case, this



CRL OP(MD), No.9822 of 2026

Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

(K M S J)
27.05.2026

OGY

TO

1. The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Trichy City.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.9822 of 2026

K.MURALI SHANKAR, J.

ogy

CRL OP (MD) No.9822 of 2026

27/05/2026