

CRL OP(MD). No.9759 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 22.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.9759 of 2026

S.Ramadhas @ Karuthapandi

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The Union of India
Through the Superintendent
Narcotics Control Bureau,
Madurai Sub Zone, Madurai
NCB.F.No.48/1/01/2020/NCB-MDU

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Kannan

For Respondent : Mr.C.Arul Vadivel @ Sekar
Special Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS


PRAYER :-

For Bail in NCB.F.No.48/1/01/2020/NCB-MDU on the file of the respondent.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / A6, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 19.04.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(C) r/w.

1/4



CRL OP(MD). No.9759 of 2026

20(c), 23(c), 25 and 29(1) of NDPS Act in NCB.F.No.48/1/2020/NCB-

MDU on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused were found in illegal possession of 661.5 kgs of ganja. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent police has registered a false case against the petitioner and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 19.04.2026. He would further submit that mandatory provisions under Sections 42,50,52A and 57 of NDPS Act has not been complied with. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner along with other accused were found in illegal possession of 661.5 kgs of ganja which is a commercial quantity. He would further submit that one previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.9759 of 2026

WEB COPY

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and considering the nature of offences and also taking into consideration the quantity of contraband involved in this case and the fact that one previous case is pending against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. In the result, the petition stands dismissed.

(P D B J)
22.06.2026

aav

To

1. The Superintendent
Narcotics Control Bureau,
Madurai Sub Zone, Madurai

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

3/4



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.9759 of 2026
P. DHANABAL, J

aav

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 9759 of 2026

Date : 22.06.2026