



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 08.06.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

AND

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

H.C.P.(MD) No.664 of 2026

Sangeeth

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Superintendent of Police,
Thoothukudi District.
Thoothukudi.

2.State of Tamilnadu,
Represented by the Inspector of Police,
Seidunganallur Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.

3.Thangaiah

4.Arumugam

.....Respondents

Prayer :Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, directing the 1st and 2nd respondents to secure the body or person of the detenue Muthu Selvi, D/o.Thangaiah, aged about 35 years from the illegal custody of the 3rd and 4th respondents and produce



before this Court and set her at liberty.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.Ramnath.B

For Respondents : Mr.D.Venkatesh
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by N.ANAND VENKATESH,J.)

This Habeas Corpus Petition has been filed against the first and second respondents to secure the body or person of the detenue, aged about 35 years, who is alleged to be in the illegal custody of the 3rd and 4th respondents and to set her at liberty.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side) appearing on behalf of the respondents.

3. The case of the petitioner is that he had a live-in relationship with the detenue and that she is staying at Chennai. Ultimately, the petitioner wanted to marry the detenue. The 3rd respondent, who is the father and the 4th respondent, who is the maternal uncle, forcibly detained the detenue and stopped her from contacting the petitioner. The allegations made in the



WEB COPY

affidavit filed in support of the petition are that the petitioner received a phone call from the detinue and she informed him that she is in the illegal custody of the 4th respondent, who is ill-treating her and that there is every possibility of an honour killing in this case. In such circumstances, the petitioner gave a complaint to the second respondent, which was taken on file in C.S.R. No.197 of 2026. Since no action was taken on the complaint, the present Habeas Corpus Petition has been filed before this Court.

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side), on instructions, submitted that the detinue is now living with her father. Insofar as the complaint is concerned, the same is pending before the second respondent.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the age of the detinue, there shall be a direction to the second respondent to call the detinue for enquiry and record her statement. Based on the same, it is left open to the second respondent to take further action in accordance with law.



WEB COPY 6. This Habeas Corpus Petition is disposed of in the above terms.

(N.A.V.,J..) (S.S.Y.,J.,)
08.06.2026

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

Indu

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Thoothukudi District.
Thoothukudi.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Seidunganallur Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



**N. ANAND VENKATESH,J.
AND
S.SRIMATHY,J.**

Indu

H.C.P.(MD)No.664 of 2026

08.06.2026