



CRL OP(MD). No. 9703 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21.05.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

CRL OP(MD). No. 9703 of 2026

1.Shanmuganathan Kuppu
2.Anbukamal Annadurai.

...Petitioners/ Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch
Ramanathapuram.
(Crime No. 3 of 2026)

...Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Vinodh
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 9703 of 2026

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 3 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 336(2), 336(3), 340(2), 318(4), 319(2) r/w 61(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No. 3 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners are the subsequent purchasers. The other accused colluded with each other and created forged documents and dishonestly alienated the properties belonging to the defacto complainant's family to the petitioners. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in



CRL OP(MD). No. 9703 of 2026

this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident.

They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 336(2), 336(3), 340(2), 318(4), 319(2) r/w 61(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No. 3 of 2026. He would further submit that the petitioners are the subsequent purchasers of the subject property. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, and considering the fact that the petitioners are the subsequent purchasers, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate-II, Ramanathapuram**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions,



CRL OP(MD). No. 9703 of 2026

WEB COPY

the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(R V J)
21.05.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate -II, Ramanathapuram.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch
Ramanathapuram.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 9703 of 2026

R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 9703 of 2026

Date : 21.05.2026