



CRL OP(MD). No.9653 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 20/05/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

CRL OP(MD). No.9653 of 2026

1. Ayyanar
2. Ramar
3. Jeyaprakash @ Jeyaprakasan
4. Ramesh
5. Boominathan
6. Pandiyarajan
7. Karankumar
8. Rakku
9. Muthuirulayee
10. Vijaya
11. Chithra
12. Selvaraj

... Petitioners/ Accused No.1 to 12

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruppuvanam Police Station,



CRL OP(MD), No.9653 of 2026

Sivagangai District.
Crime No. 239 of 2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 239 of 2026 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioners : M/s.D.Thirumoorthy,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.A.Albert James,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 74 and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.239 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are the close relatives. Due to previous enmity, on 07.05.2026, at about 06.30 a.m., the petitioners are said to have abused



CRL OP(MD), No.9653 of 2026

the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted him, his father, his mother and one Muneeswaran and caused blood injuries to all and threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, a case has been registered against the accused for the aforesaid offences. Hence, this petition.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and their names have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that due to previous enmity between the defacto complainant and the petitioners, the alleged occurrence was happened and the injured are still in hospital. Hence, he strongly opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. By way of reply, the learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners 8 to 11 are women and there is no specific overtact as against them.



CRL OP(MD), No.9653 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Since the injured are still in hospital, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners 1 to 7 & 12. Hence, this petition is dismissed as against the petitioners 1 to 7 & 12.

8. However, considering the facts that the petitioners 8 to 11 are women and there is no specific overt act as against them, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners 8 to 11 with certain conditions.

9. Accordingly, the petitioners 8 to 11 are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the petitioners 8 to 11 shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruppuvanam, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready and on further conditions that:



CRL OP(MD), No.9653 of 2026

WEB COPY

[a] the petitioners 8 to 11 and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners 8 to 11 shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioners 8 to 11 shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners 8 to 11 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners 8 to 11 shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners 8 to 11 in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners 8 to 11 released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR



CRL OP(MD), No.9653 of 2026

SCW 5560].

WEB COPY

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(R V J)
20.05.2026

dss

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruppuvanam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Thiruppuvanam Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

R.VIJAYAKUMAR,J

DSS



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.9653 of 2026

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9653 of 2026

Date : 20/05/2026