



CRL OP(MD). No.9664 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Dated : **20.05.2026**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

CRL OP(MD). No.9664 of 2026

Gurubaran
S/o. Maruthu

...Petitioner/Accused No.4

Vs

State Of Tamilnadu Rep By
Inspector Of Police,
District Crime Branch, Trichy.
(Crime No. 10 of 2024).

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Arunraj
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.A.Albert James
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.10 of 2024 on the file of the
respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.9664 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 120B, 406, 420, 468 and 34 of IPC in Crime No.10 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 13.02.2024, the defacto-complainant lodged a complaint stating that on 02.05.2017, he had entered into an agreement with A1 to A3 for the purchase of their land, for which they received an advance amount, but failed to execute the sale deed in favour of the petitioner, thereby cheating the defacto-complainant. Hence, the case.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.9664 of 2026

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 120B, 406, 420, 468 and 34 of IPC. He further submits that there are no previous cases against the petitioner. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the fact that there are no previous cases against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Musiri, and on further conditions that:



CRL OP(MD). No.9664 of 2026

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, on every Monday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(R V J)
20.05.2026

gvn



CRL OP(MD). No.9664 of 2026

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Musiri.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Trichy.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.9664 of 2026

R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.

gvn

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9664 of 2026

Dated : 20.05.2026