



CRL OP(MD). No. 9654 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21.05.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

CRL OP(MD). No. 9654 of 2026

Ramesh

...Petitioner/ Accused -1

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruchendur Police Station,
Thoothukudi.
(Crime No. 299 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Jeyakumaran
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 299 of 2026 on the file of the



CRL OP(MD). No. 9654 of 2026

respondent police.

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2) and 318(4) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 299 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, on the request of A1 to A4 to redeem the jewels, gave a sum of Rs.7,18,000/-. However, the accused neither redeemed the jewels nor returned the money. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No. 9654 of 2026

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2) and 318(4) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 299 of 2026. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the all facts and circumstances of this case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be



CRL OP(MD). No. 9654 of 2026

released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruchendur, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, on every Monday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the



CRL OP(MD). No. 9654 of 2026

petitioner released on bail by the learned
Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the
Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala
[(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(R V J)
21.05.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruchendur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruchendur Police Station,
Thoothukudi.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 9654 of 2026

R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 9654 of 2026

Date : 21.05.2026