



CRL OP(MD). No.9642 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20/05/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

CRL OP(MD). No.9642 of 2026

1. Palpandi,
2. Pugalpandi @ Puzhalpandi,
3. Moockiya @ Mookaiyadevar,
4. Rajangam @ Rasangam, ... Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by
Inspector of Police,
Kodaikanal Police Station,
Dindigul District.
Cr.No.191 of 2026.. ... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s. Sathis Kumari R,
For Respondent : Mr.A.Albert James,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.191/2026 on the file of the
respondent Police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No.9642 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 329(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.191 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to land dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, on 09.05.2026, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and attacked him with a wooden log, thereby causing injuries to him. Hence, a case has been registered against the accused for the aforesaid offences. Hence, this petition.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and their names have been falsely implicated in this case and also submitted that it is a case in counter case. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD). No.9642 of 2026

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that due to wordy quarrel between the defacto complainant and the petitioners, the occurrence happened and injured was discharged from the hospital and also the petitioners have no previous case. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and considering the facts that the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kodaikanal, within a



CRL OP(MD). No.9642 of 2026

period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police once in a month (i.e) on the first day of every English calendar month at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with



CRL OP(MD). No.9642 of 2026

WEB COPY

law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(R V J)
20.05.2026

TTA

TO

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Kodaikanal.
2. Inspector of Police,
Kodaikanal Police Station,
Dindigul District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.9642 of 2026

R.VIJAYAKUMAR,J

TTA

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9642 of 2026

Date : 20/05/2026