



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9628 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 03.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 9628 of 2026

Saravanan

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Samayapuram Police Station,
Tiruchirappalli District.
(Crime No.172 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Vinayak
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 172 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 351(2), 115(2), 324(5) and 329(3) of BNS r/w. Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.172 of 2026,



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9628 of 2026

on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant entered rental agreement with first accused. Thereafter the first accused demanded enhanced rent. There was a land lord - tenancy dispute between them. Due to which civil suit has also been filed. Thereafter the first accused trespassed into the property along with other accused persons and abused them in filthy language and criminally intimidated them with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. There is landlord-tenant dispute between them. Due to which this false case has been foisted against them. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. Due to landlord-tenant dispute the accused persons trespassed into the property and caused damages. The petitioner has no



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9628 of 2026

previous case. There is civil suit is also pending. Investigation is still pending.

He vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, there is a dispute regarding landlord-tenant, civil suit is also pending in this regard, the petitioner has no previous case and considering all other facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Tiruchirappalli**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police at 10.30 a.m., every Saturday for a period of four weeks,



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9628 of 2026

thereafter as and when required for interrogation:

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
03.06.2026

TM
To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Tiruchirappalli.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Samayapuram Police Station,
Tiruchirappalli District.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9628 of 2026

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9628 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 9628 of 2026

Date : 03.06.2026