



CRL OP(MD). No.9564 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20/05/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

CRL OP(MD). No.9564 of 2026

Saranya

... Petitioner/Accused No.5

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Kulasekarapattinam Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.188 of 2026)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Karthikeyan

For Respondent : Mr.A.Albert James,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.188/2026 on the file of the
respondent Police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No.9564 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/accused No.5, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 296(b), 305, 329(3) and 351(3) BNS and Section 3 of Tamil Nadu Public Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act, 1992, in Crime No.188 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is the wife of the defacto complainant and due to some dispute, they are now living separately. On 15.04.2026 at about 17.30 hours, the petitioner along with other accused trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and assaulted the defacto complainant's mother and removed her gold chain and also caused damages to the two wheeler and household articles belonging to the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.9564 of 2026

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that it is a matrimonial dispute and that the injured was treated as out-patient.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the injured was treated as out-patient, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tiruchendur, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order made ready and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.



CRL OP(MD). No.9564 of 2026

[b]the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required.

[c]the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

(R V J)
20.05.2026

CSM



CRL OP(MD). No.9564 of 2026

TO
WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Tiruchendur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Kulasekarapattinam Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.9564 of 2026

R.VIJAYAKUMAR,J

CSM

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9564 of 2026**

Date : 20/05/2026