

CRL OP(MD). No. 9546 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 9546 of 2026

1. Raja @ SKV Rajasekaran
2. Arivu @ SKV Arivalagan

...Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Kattuputhur Police Station,
Trichy
(Crime No.120 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.Ramsundar Vijayraj
For Intervenor : Mr. G.Gnanagurunathan
For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of Tamil Nadu (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.120 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 9546 of 2026

The petitioners/A1 and A2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the

respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No.120 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 23.04.2026 at about 7.45 pm., the accused persons criminally trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant with deadly weapons and abused him filthy language and also assaulted him. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and a false case has been foisted against them. The petitioners have nothing to do with the alleged crime. He would further submit that injured has been discharged from the hospital. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the intervenor would submit that the accused persons are having so many previous cases and they are history sheet rowdy elements, hence he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD). No. 9546 of 2026


WEB COPY

5. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) would submit that the accused persons trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant with deadly weapons and abused him filthy language and also assaulted him. He would further submit that so many previous cases are pending against the petitioners. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the considering the nature of offences and also the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and also the fact that it is a dispute between the family members and the neighbors and though the petitioners have some previous cases all those cases are not similar kind of offence and in those cases they were granted bail and also considering all other factors. this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made



CRL OP(MD). No. 9546 of 2026

ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thottiyam on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:


[b] the petitioners shall report before the Senthamangalam Police Station, Namakkal District daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of thirty days.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala



CRL OP(MD). No. 9546 of 2026

[(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

WEB COPY

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
12.06.2026

aav

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Thottiyam
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Kattuputhur Police Station,
Trichy
3. The Inspector of Police
Senthamangalam Police Station, Namakkal District
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 9546 of 2026
P. DHANABAL, J

aav

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 9546 of 2026

Date : 12.06.2026