



CRL OP(MD). No.9617 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20.05.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

CRL OP(MD).No.9617 of 2026

1. Thangapandi @Karuppiah
S/o. Ramasamy

2. Vignesh @ Sathiyendran
S/o. Thangavel

3.Dineshkumar,
S/o. Paramasamy

...Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State Of Tamilnadu Rep By
Inspector Of Police,
Koodakovil Police Station
Madurai District.
Crime No. 71 of 2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Pandiyaraj
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.Meenakshi Sundaram
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No.71 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.9617 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners / Accused, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.04.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 191(2), 191(3) and 296(b) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.71 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 23.04.2026, when certain persons residing in the locality created problem with the defacto-complainant's relative one Sattayan. The defacto-complainant's son, Suresh, and her brother-in-law's son intervened and pacified the issue. Harboursing a grudge over the same, on 23.04.2026 at about 11.45 p.m., the petitioners and other accused persons allegedly attacked one Selvam. Subsequently, on 25.04.2026 at about 3.30 p.m., when the defacto-complainant's son, Suresh, was proceeding near the Ayyanar Temple, the petitioners and other accused allegedly attacked him with a knife and aruval, causing grievous injuries. Hence the case.



CRL OP(MD). No.9617 of 2026

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and that they have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would also submit that the petitioners were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.04.2026 and they have been in custody for more than 22 days. Hence, he has prayed for the grant of bail.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the injured person was discharged from the hospital on 06.05.2026. There are no previous cases against the petitioners herein. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD). No.9617 of 2026

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Thirumangalam, Madurai District, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police on every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which they are accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which they are suspected;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



CRL OP(MD). No.9617 of 2026

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(R V J)
20.05.2026

gvn



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.9617 of 2026

R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.

gvn

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate, Thirumangalam,
Madurai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Koodakovil Police Station,
Madurai District.

3. The Officer-in-charge,
Sub Jail, Virudhunagar.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.9617 of 2026

Date : 20.05.2026