



CRL OP(MD). No.9520 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 03/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD). No.9520 of 2026

M.Kingston Jeyasingh,
S/o. Maria Joseph,
No.64/66, Thaikkal Street,
Sathankulam,
Thoothukudi District..

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Sathankulam Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
Cr.No.241/2026..


... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Karthick Raja,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- C-31B. For Bail in Crime No.
241/2026 on the file of the respondent Police.




CRL OP(MD). No.9520 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Sole Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 11.04.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 132, 296(b) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, and Section 25(1A) and 27(1) of Arms Act, in Crime No.241 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 11.04.2026 at about 08.30 a.m., when the defacto complainant namely, the Sub Inspector of Police, along with other Police personnel were on routine patrol duty at Pannamparai area, they found that the petitioner armed with an aruval and threatened the public, created fear and disturbed Public peace. When the defacto complainant questioned him, the accused abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and attempted to



CRL OP(MD). No.9520 of 2026

WEB COPY

attack him with the aruval and also prevented him to discharge their official duties. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 11.04.2026. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that investigation is still pending. He would further submit that the petitioner has four previous cases and no one sustained any injury. However, he vehemently, opposed to grant of bail.




CRL OP(MD). No.9520 of 2026

WEB COPY 5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and considering the fact that the investigation in this case almost completed and also considering the fact that no one sustained any injury and though the petitioner has some previous cases, the same are not similar kind of offence and in all cases, the petitioner was granted bail and the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner from 11.04.2026, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)



CRL OP(MD). No.9520 of 2026

WEB COPY

with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;



CRL OP(MD). No.9520 of 2026

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
03.06.2026

VSG



CRL OP(MD). No.9520 of 2026

WEB COPY

TO

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam.
2. The Superintendent, Central Prison,
Palayamkottai.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Sathankulam Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.9520 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.9520 of 2026

Date : 03/06/2026