



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD).No.16204 of 2022

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

**Dated: 07.01.2026**

**CORAM:  
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR**

**W.P.(MD).No.16204 of 2022**

P.Siva

....Petitioner

Vs

1.The Principal Secretary to Government  
State of Tamil Nadu  
Higher Education Department  
Fort St.George, Chennai 600 009

2.The Director of Collegiate Education  
College Road, Chennai 600 006

3.The Joint Director of Collegiate Education  
Madurai Region, Palam Station Road  
Madurai 625 002, Madurai District.

4.Dr.P.Muthuramalingam  
Joint Director of Collegiate Education  
Madurai Region, Palam Station Road  
Madurai 625 002, Madurai District.

5.The Director  
Vigilance & Anticorruption Department  
No.293, M.K.N.Road, Alandur, Chennai

6.The Deputy Superintendent of Police  
Vigilance & Anticorruption Department  
Madurai District, Madurai



W.P(MD).No.16204 of 2022

7.Kallar Kalvi Kazhagam, Usilampatti  
(Society Registration No.138/1967)  
Rep.by its Secretary  
Pasumpon Muthuramalinga Thevar College Campus  
Usilampatti 625 532, Madurai District.

8.Pasumpon Muthuramalinga Thevar College  
Rep.by its Secretary  
Usilampatti 625 532, Madurai District.

9.P.Pandian  
Former Secretary  
Pasumpon Muthuramalinga Thevar College  
Usilampatti 625 532, Madurai District.

10.Principal in-charge  
Pasumpon Muthuramalinga Thevar College  
Usilampatti 625 532, Madurai District

11.O.Ravi  
Associate Professor & Principal in charge  
Pasumpon Muthuramalinga Thevar College  
Usilampatti 625 532, Madurai District

....Respondents

**Prayer:** This Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 3 herein to initiate appropriate action forthwith under Section 14 A of the Tamil Nadu Recognised Private Colleges (Regulation) Act as regards the illegal collection of excess fee of Rs.1,28,35,000/- from the students in gross violations to Section 28 and Rule 19 of the T.N.Recognised Private colleges (Regulation) Act and Rules and to take necessary steps to ensure refund of money to respective students and consequently direct the 5th and 6th respondents herein to initiate appropriate action under Section 13 (1) (c) of the Prevention of Corruption Act 1988 based on the petitioner's representation dated 20.06.2022.



W.P(MD).No.16204 of 2022

For Petitioner : Mr.E.V.N.Siva

For Respondents : Mr.N.Satheeshkumar  
Additional Government Pleader for R1 to R3

No appearance for R4 to R11.

### **ORDER**

The present writ petition has been filed by a student of an aided institution seeking a mandamus directing the respondents 1 to 3 to initiate appropriate action under Section 14-A of the Tamil Nadu Recognised Private Colleges (Regulation) Act on the allegation of illegal collection of excess fee from the students.

2.The third respondent has filed a counter. Paragraph No.13 is extracted as follows:

*“13.I respectfully submit that the writ petitioner, as a third year student, he is not concentrating in his studies and not taking efforts to complete his degree and also, without correcting the erroneous attitude of the writ petitioner, he is finding fault against third respondent without knowing the efforts taken by the third respondent. Without concentrating on his studies, he is acting as puppetry on the hands of some people who is guiding him wrong. Even though the writ petitioner filing the writ petition against third respondent, that he is also having conspiracy with the excess collection of fee. But, the third respondent he himself conducted enquiry regarding this issue and sent proposals to the second*



W.P(MD).No.16204 of 2022

*respondent and to took effortst to refund the students money and also sent proposal to appoint the special officer for this college, and also that he insisted the writ petitioner to concentrate on his studies and further he himself intimated the writ petitioner that he will proceed further on this issue. Hence, the Hon'ble High Court consider the writ petitioner as a student and kindly advice the writ petitioner to give concentration on study and not to involve in the issues of management.”*

3.The averments in Paragraph No.13 would clearly reveal that the third respondent is already initiating action on the complaint lodged by the writ petitioner.

4.The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it would be suffice to give a direction to the third respondent to complete the enquiry.

5.In view of the above said deliberations, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the third respondent to complete the enquiry and pass orders within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

**07.01.2026**

Internet : Yes/No  
Index : Yes/No  
NCC : Yes/No  
msa



WEB COPY



W.P(MD).No.16204 of 2022

To

1.The Principal Secretary to Government  
State of Tamil Nadu  
Higher Education Department  
Fort St.George, Chennai 600 009

2.The Director of Collegiate Education  
College Road, Chennai 600 006

3.The Joint Director of Collegiate Education  
Madurai Region, Palam Station Road  
Madurai 625 002, Madurai District.

4.The Record Keeper,  
Vernacular Section,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD).No.16204 of 2022

**R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.**

msa

Order made in  
W.P.(MD).No.16204 of 2022



WEB COPY



W.P(MD).No.16204 of 2022

07.01.2026