



CRL OP(MD). No. 9475 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21.05.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

CRL OP(MD). No. 9475 of 2026

G.Sumathi

...Petitioner/ Accused -2

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul.
(Crime No. 213 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Sangeetha
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 213 of 2026 on the file of the



CRL OP(MD). No. 9475 of 2026

respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Section 318(4) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 213 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that believing false promise of A1 and A2, in order to obtain job abroad, the defacto complainant paid a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- to A1. Later, A1 informed the defacto complainant that a sum of Rs.6,00,000/- was sent to her bank account in dollars. However, the complainant did not receive the same. When the complainant questioned the same, A1 arranged a conference call to the alleged bank manager. Further as per the instructions of the alleged bank manager, the complainant made payment of Rs.5,45,000/- in various dates in order to receive Rs.6,00,000/-. However, A1 neither secured employment nor



returned the amount. Hence, the case.

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that entire transactions are between A1 and the defacto complainant and there is no specific overt-act as against the petitioner. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Section 318(4) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 213 of 2026. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No. 9475 of 2026

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the facts that entire transactions are between A1 and the defacto complainant and there is no specific overt-act as against the petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nilakottai, Dindigul, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the



CRL OP(MD). No. 9475 of 2026

respondent police, on the first day of every English

Calendar month at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No. 9475 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR

WEB COPY

can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(R V J)
21.05.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Nilakottai, Dindigul.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 9475 of 2026

R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 9475 of 2026

Date : 21.05.2026