



W.P.(MD)No.14162 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 13.05.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

W.P.(MD)No.14162 of 2026

N.Nagendran

... Petitioner

-VS-

The Authorized Officer,
Canara Bank,
SME Branch, No.50,
VMR Complex, Bye Pass Road,
Vasanth Nagar,
Madurai.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondent to consider petitioner representation dated 17.04.2026 based upon the order made in S.A.No.585/2024 on the file of the Honble Debt Recovery Tribunal, Madurai dated 24.03.2026.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.14162 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.KR.Bharathi Kannan

For Respondent : Mr.Pethu Rajesh

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN,J.)

This writ petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 17.04.2026.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned counsel appearing for the respondent.

3. The petitioner availed a loan from the respondent Bank in the year 2015. Thereafter, he committed default, and consequently, the respondent issued a demand notice, followed by a possession notice and auction notice. Challenging the possession notice and auction notice, the petitioner filed S.A.No.585 of 2024 under the SARFAESI Act before the Debt Recovery Tribunal, Madurai, and the same was allowed by the Debt Recovery Tribunal, Madurai, on 24.03.2026.



W.P.(MD)No.14162 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. Thereafter, the petitioner submitted a representation seeking the balance dues payable to the respondent Bank. It is seen that the demand notice, possession notice, and auction notice had already been issued to the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner was well aware of the outstanding dues. The present representation appears to have been submitted only to prolong the proceedings and evade the SARFAESI proceedings initiated by the respondent Bank.

5. In view of the above, the relief sought for in the writ petition cannot be granted. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed. No costs. However, if the petitioner has any grievance, he is at liberty to approach the Debt Recovery Appellate Tribunal.

[G.K.I., J.] [K.R.S., J.]
13.05.2026

am
NCC :Yes/No
Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.14162 of 2026

**G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN,J.
AND
K.RAJASEKAR,J.**

am

W.P.(MD)No.14162 of 2026

13.05.2026