



CRL OP(MD). No.9436 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 02/06/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.9436 of 2026

Jebish Raj

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Puthukadai Police Station,
Kanniyakumari District.
(in Crime No. 151 of 2026.)

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 151 of 2026 on the file of the
Respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Ramakrishnan S,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD), No.9436 of 2026

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offence punishable under Section 318(4) of BNS, in Crime No.151 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are close friends. The petitioner received 13 sovereigns of gold jewels from the defacto complainant and pledged the same for urgent needs. Thereafter, failed to hand over the same to the defacto complainant even after the repeated demands. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that no previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that the petitioner cheated the defacto complainant by refusing to hand



CRL OP(MD), No.9436 of 2026

over the jewels to the defacto complainant and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

He would further submit that the petitioner has no previous case.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and there is a money dispute between the parties in respect of pledged jewels and the relationship between the parties and the petitioner has no previous case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Kulizhurai, Kanyakumari District**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of 30 days, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.



CRL OP(MD), No.9436 of 2026

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

02.06.2026

dss



CRL OP(MD), No.9436 of 2026

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Kulizhurai,
Kanyakumari District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Puthukadai Police Station,
Kanniyakumari District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.9436 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

dss

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9436 of 2026

Date : 02/06/2026