



CRL OP(MD). No. 9360 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 01.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 9360 of 2026

J.Harish

...Petitioner/Accused -1

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Rameswaram
Ramanathapuram.
(Crime No. 5 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Senthilkumar
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 5 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 9360 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 11(4), 11(5), 12, 13(a), 13(c) and 14(1) of POCSO Act, 2012 in Crime No. 5 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that regarding love aspect, the peittioner sexually harassed the victim by way of obscene pictures and videos through Instagram, due to which the victim was mentally suffered. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the mother of the victim, a case has



CRL OP(MD). No. 9360 of 2026

been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 11(4), 11(5), 12, 13(a), 13(c) and 14(1) of POCSO Act, 2012 in Crime No. 5 of 2026.

He would further submit that the petitioner has no previous case. He would further submit that the statement of the victim was recorded under Section 183 of B.N.S.S. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record, including the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of B.N.S.S.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the facts that as per the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of B.N.S.S., there is no any specific allegation as against this petitioner and the petitioner has no previous case and also considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:



CRL OP(MD). No. 9360 of 2026

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judge, Fast Track Mahila Court (POCSO Act Cases), Ramanathapuram, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take



CRL OP(MD). No. 9360 of 2026

WEB COPY

appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
01.06.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judge,
Fast Track Mahila Court (POCSO Act Cases),
Ramanathapuram.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Rameswaram
Ramanathapuram.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 9360 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J
apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 9360 of 2026

Date : 01.06.2026