

CRL OP(MD). No. 9349 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 01.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 9349 of 2026

Thalip Raja

...Petitioner/ Accused-2

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Ambadurai Police Station,
Dindigul.
(Crime No. 64 of 2020)

...Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Sudhanthiran
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 64 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

1/8



CRL OP(MD). No. 9349 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 23.04.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 394 @ 397 of IPC in Crime No. 64 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 22.02.2020, at about 9.45 p.m., when the defacto complainant and his wife were travelling in his motorcycle, two unidentified persons on a motorcycle followed them and snatched 10 sovereigns of gold thali chain from the defacto complainant's wife. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner was already released on bail and since the petitioner has not turned up for the hearing, Non-Bailable Warrant (NBW) was ordered to be issued and the same was executed on 23.04.2025




CRL OP(MD). No. 9349 of 2026

and he is still in judicial custody. He would further submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that due to non-appearance, the Non-Bailable Warrant was issued against the petitioner. He would further submit that the petitioner has 53 previous cases out of which 20 cases were disposed of and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and considering the fact that already the petitioner was granted bail and thereafter, due to




CRL OP(MD). No. 9349 of 2026

non-appearance, NBW was issued and now, the case is posed for trial in S.C.No.154 of 2024 on the file of the Fast Track Mahila Court, Dindigul and though the petitioner has so many previous cases, in all cases bail was granted to him and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judge, Fast Track Mahila Court, Dindigul and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the learned Judge, Fast Track Mahila Court, Dindigul, on all working days at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence



WEB COPY

similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No. 9349 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR

WEB COPY

can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
01.06.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judge, Fast Track Mahila Court, Dindigul.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Ambadurai Police Station,
Dindigul.
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Trichy.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 9349 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J
apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 9349 of 2026



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 9349 of 2026

Date : 01.06.2026