



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9171 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06.05.2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY**

CRL OP(MD) . No.9171 of 2026

1.Manoj

2.Dineshkumar

3.Chitranesan : Petitioners/A1, A3 & A4

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Lalgudi Police Station,
Trichy District.

Crime No.174/2026. : Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.T.Lenin Kumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.174 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) and 109(1) of BNS, in Crime No.174 of 2026, on

1/6



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9171 of 2026

the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, on 23.04.2026, one Akash lodged a complaint stating that when he called out to a child in the locality, the first petitioner mistakenly assumed that the complainant had addressed him disrespectfully. When the same was questioned by the first petitioner, there arose wordy quarrel between them, due to which the petitioners allegedly abused the de-facto complainant in filthy language, assaulted him with a wooden log, intimidated him, and threatened him with dire consequences.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that there arose wordy quarrel



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9171 of 2026

between them, due to which the petitioners allegedly abused the de-facto complainant in filthy language, assaulted him with a wooden log and caused injuries. He further submitted that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and the second petitioner is having two previous cases and the third petitioner is having one previous case. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and taking note of the fact that there was a wordy quarrel between the parties and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9171 of 2026

sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

[b] the first petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m, for a period of one week and thereafter as and when required for interrogation; the petitioners 2 and 3 shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m, for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9171 of 2026

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

06.05.2026

das



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9171 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY, J. ,

das

TO

- 1.Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Lalgudi Police Station,
Trichy District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) . No.9171 of 2026

Date : 06.05.2026