



CRL OP(MD). No.9146 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06.05.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.9146 of 2026

1.J.Jancyrani

2.M.Mohamed Sathik

... Petitioners/Accused 5 & 6

Vs.

The State of Tamil nadu,
Rep.by The Inspector of Police,
Eral Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(crime No.583 of 2025)

Transferred to
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep.by the Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.583/2025

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.A.Jayaramachandran

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash

Government Advocate (Crl.side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

1/7



CRL OP(MD), No.9146 of 2026

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.583 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/fifth and sixth accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2) and 318 (4), in Crime No.583 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners are employees of a pawn broker shop run by one Sivasubramanian. The defacto complainant is stated to have pledged her gold jewels in the said shop. Subsequently, when she approached the shop for redemption of the pledged jewels, the said Sivasubramanian allegedly failed to return the jewels and attempted to cheat the defacto complainant. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are



CRL OP(MD), No.9146 of 2026

ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioners are the employees of the pawn shop run by one Sivasubramanian, who failed to return the jewels pledged by the defacto complainant and totally there are 32 victims. Further, the petition filed by the first accused seeking anticipatory bail was dismissed. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the the petitioners are only the employees of the said shop, and that the petitioners are ready and willing to deposit certain amount to the credit of crime number, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the



CRL OP(MD), No.9146 of 2026

petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Srivaikundam within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy was made ready and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall deposit a sum of ***Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Rupees only), each*** to the credit of Crime No.583 of 2025 before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Srivaikundam. On such deposit, the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Srivaikundam, shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioners. After receipt of entire amount, the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Srivaikundam, shall deposit the said amount in an interest bearing Fixed Deposit in any nationalized Bank initially for a period of one year and



CRL OP(MD), No.9146 of 2026

renew them periodically until the final order/Judgment is passed in the case in Crime No.583 of 2025. The learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court shall pass orders regarding entitlement of the said amount in its final order/Judgment;

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.9146 of 2026

with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

(S S Y J)
06.05.2026

ta

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Srivaikundam
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Thoothukudi District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Eral Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.9146 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY, J.
ta

ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD). No.9146 of 2026

06.05.2026