



CRL.O.P.(MD)No.9154 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 06.05.2026

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE **S.SRIMATHY**

CRL.O.P.(MD)No.9154 of 2026

Veerapathiran

... Petitioner

vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
CBCID Police Station,
Sivagangai.
(In Cr.No.3 of 2025)

... Respondent

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.3 of 2025 on the file of
the respondent police

For Petitioner	:Mr.V.Sasi Kumar
For Respondent	:Mr.S.Prakash
	Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 468 and 471 of IPC in Crime No.3 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



CRL.O.P.(MD)No.9154 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that under the guise of doing Iridium business and by using the name of the Reserve Bank of India, the accused persons have cheated the de-facto complainant and has received huge amount from her and when the same was questioned, the issued a cheque, which was returned as insufficient fund. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he is no way connected with the alleged occurrence as stated by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that under the guise of doing Iridium, the accused persons have cheated the de-facto complainant by misusing the name of the Reserve Bank of India and the offences alleged against the accused are serious in nature. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL.O.P.(MD)No.9154 of 2026

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the serious allegations against the accused persons and the fact that this Court in the allegation involving iridium has not granted anticipatory bail to the accused, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

06.05.2026

cmr

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
CBCID Police Station,
Sivagangai.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL.O.P.(MD)No.9154 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY, J.

cmr

CRL.O.P.(MD)No.9154 of 2026

06.05.2026