



CRL OP(MD). No.9119 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06.05.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.9119 of 2026

Arunkumar,
S/o.Balakrishnan

... Petitioner/A1

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep.By, the Inspector of Police,
Vadipatti Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.114 of 2026)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Ms.M.Sudharani,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.114 of 2026 on the file of
the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD), No.9119 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 329(3), and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, read with Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman (Amendment) Act, 2002, in Crime No.114 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the daughter of the de-facto complainant had a matrimonial dispute with her estranged husband, and a divorce case is pending before the Court. In the meantime, she developed a relationship with the petitioner, who convinced the de-facto complainant and her family to agree to the marriage, and an engagement was also conducted. However, the de-facto complainant sought time to conclude the divorce proceedings before proceeding with the marriage. It is further alleged that the petitioner frequently visited the house of the de-facto complainant to meet her daughter, insisted on conducting the marriage immediately, and assaulted the de-facto complainant. Hence, the present case.



CRL OP(MD), No.9119 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police submitted that there are totally seven accused persons in this case and the petitioner has been arrayed as A1. He further submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and that there are no previous cases registered against the petitioner. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and that there are no previous cases registered against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.



CRL OP(MD), No.9119 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Vadipatti, Madurai District, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy was made ready and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.9119 of 2026

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

mkn

(S S Y J)
06.05.2026



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.9119 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY, J.

mn

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court,
Vadipatti, Madurai District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Vadipatti Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD). No.9119 of 2026**

06.05.2026