



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9127 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06.05.2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY**

CRL OP (MD) . No.9127 of 2026

Jeyaram : Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs.

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Pasuvanthanai Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.

Crime No.127/2026. : Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Prabu,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.127
of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the
hands of the respondent police for the offences



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9127 of 2026

punishable under Sections 296(b), 109(1) and 351(3) of BNS (corresponding Sections 294, 307(1) and 506(ii) of IPC), in Crime No.127 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant with regard to the temple dispute, due to which, when the defacto complainant was proceeding towards Pasuvanthanai Town to Meenaksipuram on his two wheeler , the petitioner drove his car bearing Reg.No.TN-64-F-2128, in a rash and negligent manner and dashed against the defacto complainant, when the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, the petitioner abused him in filthy language and also assaulted him with deadly weapons and caused injuries.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9127 of 2026

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that due previous motive, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that there existed wordy quarrel, due to which, the petitioner attempted to hit the de-facto complainant with his vehicle and also attacked him with sticks and stones, thereby causing injuries. He further submitted that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and that the petitioner is not having any bad antecedents. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned counsel for the intervenor vehemently objected to the grant of anticipatory



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9127 of 2026

bail, contending that even in the FIR, the true facts have not been properly taken into account and that the petitioner attempted to assault the de facto complainant on three occasions.

6. The objections are recorded.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and taking note of the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and the petitioner is not having any bad antecedents, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ottapidaram, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready and on further conditions that:



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9127 of 2026

[a]the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall stay at Salem and report before the B1 Salem City Town Police Station, daily at 10.30 a.m, until further orders;

[c]the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9127 of 2026

Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

06.05.2026

das

TO

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Ottapidaram.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Pasuvanthanai Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9127 of 2026

S. SRIMATHY, J.,

das

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) . No.9127 of 2026

Date : 06.05.2026