



Crl.O.P(MD)No.9105 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 07.05.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

Crl.O.P(MD)No.9105 of 2026

S.Vidhya

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Commissioner of Police,
Alagar Kovil Road,
Madurai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Tallakulam Police Station,
Madurai City.

3.M.Ananthakumar

... Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS Act @ 482 of Cr.P.C, praying this Court to direct the second respondent not to interfere the money dispute between the petitioner and the third respondent and not to harass the petitioner in the name of enquiry based on the complaint given by the third respondent by considering the petitioner's representation dated 30.04.2026 in accordance with law, within a time stipulated by this Court.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.9105 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Balaji
For Respondents : Mr.S.Prakash
Government Advocate (Crl.side)
for R1 & R2

ORDER

This criminal original petition has been filed seeking a direction to the second respondent not to interfere with the money dispute between the petitioner and the third respondent and not to harass the petitioner in the name of enquiry based on the complaint given by the third respondent by considering the petitioner's representation dated 30.04.2026.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner borrowed a hand loan of Rs.8,00,000/- from the third respondent on 18.02.2025 with interest of 5% per month. At that time, he gave a blank cheque No.598174 to the third respondent for security purpose. After that, the petitioner repaid the principal amount and requested to return the said cheque. In this situation, the third respondent sent a legal notice with false allegation. On 29.04.2026, on the



Crl.O.P(MD)No.9105 of 2026

instigation of the third respondent, the second respondent police went to the petitioner's home and threatened to register a false case against the petitioner and harassed the petitioner under the guise of enquiry. Hence, the defacto complainant filed this criminal original petition.

3.The learned Government Advocate (crl.side) appearing for the respondent police submits that on the complaint given by the third respondent against the petitioner, petition enquiry is pending on the file of the respondent police.

4.Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent police.

5.It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent police has been harassing her under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.9105 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. In this view of the matter, the second respondent is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass orders in accordance with law and follow the guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]**.

7. With the above direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

07.05.2026

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
rmi

To

1. The Commissioner of Police,
Alagar Kovil Road,
Madurai.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Tallakulam Police Station,
Madurai City.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P(MD)No.9105 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY, J.

rmi

CrI.O.P(MD)No.9105 of 2026

07.05.2026