



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 23-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD) NO. 10564 of 2025

Alex Midhin Tom

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep By the Inspector Of Police,
Madurai Police Station
NIB-CID District
Madurai

Crime No. 4 of 2024.

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.Kasirajan

For Respondent(s): Mr.T.Senthil Kumar, Additional Public Prosecutor

Prayer:

C-32B. For Bail in Crime No. 4 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER

The respondent police registered a case as against this petitioner in Crime No.4 of 2024 for the offence under Sections 8(c), 22(c), 25, 29(1) of NDPS Act and remanded him into judicial custody on 29.02.2024. Therefore, the petitioner has filed this petition seeking bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and other accused were found in



illegal possession of the following contraband:-

1. Amphetamine – 250 gram
2. Pseudo Ephedrine – 150 gram
3. White lump like Glucose – 300 gram
4. White stick with crystal shape – 850 gram
5. White Powder with a slight brownish tint – 4.750 kgs.
6. White Powder with a glaze – 8 kgs
7. White Urea-like powder – 10.500kg
8. Brown color powder – 0.900 gram
9. Pink color Tablet – 72 (Nos)
10. Five Liter Plastic can containing acetone liquid - One No.
11. Sodium Hydroxide Pellets Extra pure can – 2.300 kgs
12. Brown color glass bottle – 1 No

3. The contention of the petitioner is that based on confession of the co-accused, the petitioner was implicated as A3.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted




that the petitioner was arrayed at based on confession. However, CDR particulars are against the petitioner. The petitioner was having more than three SIM cards and 71 calls were made to the 2nd accused and 220 calls were made to the 4th accused. Further, the tower location of the petitioner's mobile was matching the location. Therefore, the petitioner's confession plea is rejected.

5.The next contention of the petitioner is that already, A5 and A6 were granted bail. But the learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that A7 was also granted bail, but he is under NBW. Totally there are 7 accused. The trial has started and the next date of hearing is 13.02.2026. If bail is granted, the accused will not conduct the case and the trial will not proceed further. Therefore, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor vehemently objected for granting bail.

6.Further, it is seen that this is the fourth bail application and the third bail application was dismissed after the chargesheet was filed. There is no change in circumstances. Therefore, the present criminal original petition cannot be considered.

7.The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that he was doing fish business but no materials were submitted before this Court to consider the same.

8.On the other hand, the respondent has submitted before this Court that all the accused together were in possession of 12 different kinds of contraband and they have accumulated the said materials in order to prepare methamphetamine



Tmg

To

1. Inspector Of Police,
Madurai Police Station
NIB-CID District
Madurai

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.