



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.3129 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : **15.06.2026**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI**

W.P.Crl.(MD)No.3129 of 2026

Mathew K.Cherian

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Director General of Police,
Office of the Director General of Police,
Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai,
Mylapore, Chennai.

2.The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Sanarpatti Police Station,
Dindigul District.

4.Prabhakaran

... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to initiate necessary action against Respondent No.4 as per the dictum laid down by the Honorable Supreme Court of India in Lalitha Kumari-vs- Government of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2014) 2 SCC 1 within the time stipulated by this Court.



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.3129 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Malaikani

For R1 – R3 : Mr.C.Susikumar

Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER


Seeking to direct the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to initiate necessary action against Respondent No.4, who is the Inspector of Police of Sanarpatti Police Station, this writ petition is filed.

2. Since no adverse order is passed against the private respondent, notice to the private respondent is dispensed with.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the 4th respondent police is acting hand in glove with the proposed accused and sought the indulgence of this Court.

4. However, the learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) submitted that the petitioner as well as the rival parties were properly put on notice under Section 64 BNSS, and a discrete enquiry was conducted and thereafter, the matter was closed on 14.06.2026 recording the pendency of civil suits.

5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and carefully perused the materials available on record.



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.3129 of 2026

6. However, the learned counsel for the petitioner insisted that the Superintendent of Police should be directed to look into the matter. However, I do not find any reason to direct the respondent police in such a line.

7. In view of the same, giving liberty to the petitioner to file a private complaint, this Writ Petition is disposed of.

15.06.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No

gbg

TO:-

- 1.The Director General of Police,
Office of the Director General of Police,
Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai,
Mylapore, Chennai.
- 2.The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Sanarpatti Police Station,
Dindigul District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.3129 of 2026

L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.

gbg

Order made in
W.P.Crl.(MD)No.3129 of 2026

Dated
15.06.2026