



CRL OP(MD). No. 8921 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 8921 of 2026

1.Ponpandian
2.Jothi
3.Thangam @ Thangasamy
4.Muthulakshmi ...Petitioners/Accused 1 to 4

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Malli Police Station
Virudhunagar.
(Crime No. 41 of 2026) ...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Pandian
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 8921 of 2026

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 41 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 125, 351(2), 351(3) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No. 41 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the first petitioner and the defacto complainant are the husband and wife. Due to family dispute, on 14.03.2026 at about 9.00 p.m., A1 assaulted the defacto complainant and when the same was questioned by her father and brother, A1 picked up a quarrel with them and A1 abused the mother of the defacto complainant in filthy language, assaulted with hands and pelted stone and also threatened them. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and



CRL OP(MD). No. 8921 of 2026

they are no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 125, 351(2), 351(3) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No. 41 of 2026. He would further submit that injured was discharged from the hospital. He would further submit that the petitioners have no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, and considering the relationship between the parties and also considering the fact that due to



CRL OP(MD). No. 8921 of 2026

family dispute, the occurrence happened, injured was discharged from the hospital and also the petitioners have no previous case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate -II, Srivilliputhur, Virudhunagar, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 8921 of 2026

witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
30.04.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate -II, Srivilliputhur, Virudhunagar.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Malli Police Station
Virudhunagar.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 8921 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 8921 of 2026

Date : 30.04.2026