



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8889 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 03.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 8889 of 2026

1.B.Muniyasamy
2.R.Chelladurai Rakkapan
3.T.Raguram
4.K.Esakkiraj
5.T.Maniraj
6.P.Ganesan

... Petitioners

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Sivagiri Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
(Crime No.118 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.M.S.Parthiban
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 118 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8889 of 2026

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(2), 109(1) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act and Section 3 of TNPPDL Act, in Crime No.118 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to the dispute arose during the temple festival, the accused persons gathered together threw stones and abused in the public place and caused injuries to the public and several police officers and also caused damages to the tube lights and festival decorations. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Due to the dispute arose regarding the procession during the temple festival there was wordy quarrel arose between the parties. Therefore, counter case has also been registered against the defacto complainant parties. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8889 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. Due to the dispute arose during the temple festival, the petitioners are unlawfully assembled in the public places and caused the disturbances to the public peace and they threw the stones in public place, in which several persons including police officials sustained injuries. They also abused in the public place in filthy language. The injured persons have been discharged from the hospital. The petitioners have no previous case. Counter case has also been registered against the defacto complainant parties. He vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the records.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, there is a dispute between the parties regarding the temple festival, counter case has also been registered, the injured persons have been discharged from the hospital, there are no previous case against the petitioners and also considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8889 of 2026

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Sivagiri**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders:

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8889 of 2026

Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
03.06.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Sivagiri.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Sivagiri Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
(Crime No.118 of 2026)
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8889 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 8889 of 2026

Date : 03.06.2026