



CRL OP(MD). No.8794 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 29/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.8794 of 2026

Mallikarjun

... Petitioner/ Accused
Rank Not Known

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Trichy District.
Crime No.23/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.23/2026 on the file of the
respondent police

For Petitioner : Dhilipan Pandian R L,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD), No.8794 of 2026

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 465, 468, 471, 120B and 506(ii) of IPC corresponding to Sections 316, 336, 336(3), 340(2), 318(4), 61 and 351(2) of BNS in Crime No.23 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that A1 approached the defacto complainant and stated that if he invested in the Iridium (Rice Pulling) business, it would yield huge profits of hundreds of crores. On believing the same, the defacto complainant invested the total amount of Rs.2,25,90,000/- on various dates. Since there was no such profit as alleged by A1, he demanded the said amount. Due to which, the accused abused the complainant in filthy language and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that even as per the FIR, the cheated amount is Rs.2,25,90,000/- .



CRL OP(MD), No.8794 of 2026

But, the transaction between the petitioner and the defacto complainant is only Rs.15,000/- and the same was also handed over to A1 and the defacto complainant is a History Sheeter in H.S.No.161/2020. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that the petitioner and the other accused cheated the amount of Rs.2,25,90,000/- from the defacto complainant on the pretext of Iridium (Rice Pulling) business and the investigation is still pending and offence is grave in nature. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and no record was produced by the defacto complainant, who passed the cheque amount to the petitioner and even according to the prosecution, the entire transactions between A1 and the defacto complainant and the alleged occurrence took



CRL OP(MD), No.8794 of 2026

place in the year 2022 and FIR was registered on 24.04.2026 belatedly,

I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Trichy District**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of 30 days, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the



CRL OP(MD), No.8794 of 2026

WEB COPY

learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

29.04.2026

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Trichy District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch, Trichy District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.8794 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

dss

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8794 of 2026

Date : 29/04/2026