



CRL OP(MD). No.9389 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated : 30/03/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.9389 of 2025

Yasar Muther.S

... Petitioner/ Accused No.4

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Periyakulam Police Station,
Theni District.
Crime No. 135/2024.

... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER :-

To enlarge the petitioner/accused no.4 and the bail in Crime no. 135/2024 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police and thus render justice.

For Petitioner : M/s.Abbas Mandhiri,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan,

1/6



CRL OP(MD), No.9389 of 2025

Additional Public Prosecutor

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner / A4, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 30.06.2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(A), 22(c), 25 and 29(1) of NDPS Act, in Crime No.135 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 30.06.2024, at about 09.00 hours, the respondent received a secret information and conducted vehicle check up at Periyakulam – Kumbakarai Road and identified the vehicle bearing Registration No.KL-54-G-6124 Wagonr and TN-33 -BV-2230 Honda City. The respondent Police intercepted the vehicles and conducted search. During search, the petitioner and other accused were found in illegal possession of 81.63 gms of Meth @ Methamphetamine, 0.22 gms of LSD@ Lysergic acid diethylamid and 0.80 gms of cocaine and 100 gms of ganja. Hence, the respondent police registered a case against the accused and arrested the accused. After completion of investigation, they filed a final report before the Principal



CRL OP(MD), No.9389 of 2025

Special Court for NDPS Act Cases, Madurai, and the same was taken on file in C.C.No.48 of 2025. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit this is the second bail petition. Earlier bail petition filed by the petitioner was dismissed by this Court and the petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 30.06.2024. Therefore, he prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the quantity involved in this case is a commercial quantity and already this Court dismissed the bail petition and there is no change of circumstance. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available



CRL OP(MD), No.9389 of 2025

on record.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and the quantity of contraband involved in this case is a commercial quantity and already this Court dismissed the earlier bail petition and there is no change of circumstance, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

8. However, this Court directs the respondent police to produce the witnesses before the trial Court without any delay.

(P D B J)
30.03.2026
3/3

dss



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.9389 of 2025

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Periyakulam Police Station,
Theni District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.9389 of 2025

P. DHANABAL,J

dss

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9389 of 2025

Date : 30/03/2026
3/3