



CRL OP(MD). No.8850 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30/04/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD). No.8850 of 2026

Presnov,
S/o.Pushparaj,
Santhanam Street,
Tharuvaikulam,
Ottapidaram Taluk,
Thoothukudi District..

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station, Vilathikulam,
Thoothukudi District,
Crime No.11/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Renivin,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan,
Additional Public Prosecutor

For Intervener : Mr.J.Mohamed Ashik Jaman

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS



CRL OP(MD). No.8850 of 2026

PRAYER :- C-58AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.11/2026 on the file of the respondent police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Sole Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and 11(i) r/w 12 of POCSO Act, and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.11 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner abused the victim girl in filthy language and also committed sexual harassment and a life threat to her and her family members. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent and he



CRL OP(MD). No.8850 of 2026

was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the intervener would submit that the petitioner has committed offences are grave in nature and committed sexual harassment and life threat to the victim girl and her family members. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the petitioner has previous cases and the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.8850 of 2026

WEB COPY 6. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and there was a property dispute between the parties and though the petitioner has previous cases, the same are not similar kind of offences and in all cases, he was released on bail and the investigation might have been completed, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned



CRL OP(MD). No.8850 of 2026

Special Court for Exclusive Trial of POCSO
Act Cases, Thoothukudi, and on further
conditions that:

**[b] the petitioner shall report before
the respondent Police once in a week ie.,
on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a
period of four weeks and thereafter, as
and when required for interrogation.**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit
any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond
either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper
with evidence or witness either during
investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid
conditions, the learned Judicial
Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take
appropriate action against the petitioner
in accordance with law as if the



CRL OP(MD). No.8850 of 2026

WEB COPY

conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
30.04.2026

VSG

TO

1.The learned Special Court for Exclusive Trial of POCSO Act Cases, Thoothukudi.

2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station, Vilathikulam,
Thoothukudi District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

6/7



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.8850 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.8850 of 2026

Date : 30/04/2026