



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8818 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 07.05.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.8818 of 2026

1.Lakshminarayanan
2.Sathyanarayanan
3.Murugavel
4.Kavitha

... Petitioners/ A1 to A4

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu, Rep. by its
The Inspector of Police,
Golden Rock Police Station,
Tiruchirappalli City.
(Crime No.62 / 2026)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.B.Jameel Arasu

For Respondent : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar
Additional Public Prosecutor

For Intervenor : Mr.B.Sevugan Balamurugan

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.62 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8818 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1) and 351(2) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.62 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant is the own sister of the fourth accused and that, on 24.04.2026 at about 09.00 p.m., due to sewage water entering into the de-facto complainant's house and nearby plots, a wordy quarrel arose between the parties, which ultimately resulted in an altercation and attack on each other. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8818 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that there is a wordy quarrel between the closely related parties, which culminated in a mutual altercation due to the issue of sewage water overflow affecting both sides. It is further submitted that the occurrence appears to have arisen out of a sudden quarrel between family members, and investigation is under progress. He further submitted that the injured persons have been discharged from the hospital.

5. The learned counsel for the de-facto complainant would submit that the de-facto complainant is the own sister of the fourth accused and that, on 24.04.2026 at about 09.00 p.m., due to sewage water from the accused side entering into her house and nearby plots, a dispute arose. It is submitted that the accused persons, without any justifiable cause, picked up a quarrel and attacked her and her family members, causing injuries. Hence, he seeks appropriate action against the accused persons.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that there is a wordy quarrel between the closely related parties, which



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8818 of 2026

culminated in a mutual altercation due to the issue of sewage water overflow affecting both sides and also considering the fact that the injured persons have already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judge, Additional Mahila Court, Judicial Magistrate Level, Tiruchirappalli, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy was made ready and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners shall pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- [Rupees Ten Thousand only] to the de-facto complainant;

[b] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8818 of 2026

[c] the petitioners 1 and 2 shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation. The petitioners 3 and 4 shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.

[d]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8818 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY, J.

smn2

[g]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

(S S Y J)
07.05.2026

smn2

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Golden Rock Police Station,
Tiruchirappalli City.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD)No.8818 of 2026**

07.05.2026

Page 6 of 6