



CRL OP(MD). No. 8841 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 30.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 8841 of 2026

1.Johnson

2.Arulananthu

...Petitioners/Accused 1 & 2

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
R.S.Mangalam Police Station  
Ramanathapuram.  
(Crime No. 85 of 2026 )

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.V.Kannan  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 85 of 2026 on the file of the  
respondent police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 8841 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, r/w Section 4 of TNPHW(A) Act, 2002 in Crime No. 85 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and defacto complainants are neighbours. Due to land dispute between the parties, on 06.04.2026 at about 11.00 a.m., the petitioners verbally abused the defacto complainant, assaulted him and slapped his wife, causing injury. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD). No. 8841 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, r/w Section 4 of TNPHW(A) Act, 2002 in Crime No. 85 of 2026. He would further submit that there is a land dispute between the parties and injured was discharged from the hospital. He would further submit that the petitioners have no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, relationship between the parties and considering the facts that there is a land dispute between the parties and injured was discharged from the hospital and also the petitioners have no previous case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail



CRL OP(MD). No. 8841 of 2026

to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, Ramanathapuram, and on further conditions that:

**[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.**

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take



CRL OP(MD). No. 8841 of 2026

WEB COPY

appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)  
30.04.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, Ramanathapuram.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,  
R.S.Mangalam Police Station  
Ramanathapuram.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



**CRL OP(MD). No. 8841 of 2026**

**P. DHANABAL, J**

apd

ORDER  
IN  
**CRL OP(MD) No. 8841 of 2026**

Date : 30.04.2026