



CRL OP(MD). No.9588 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.9588 of 2025

Vijayarajan

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
PEW Madurai City Police Station,
Madurai District
(Crime No. 706 of 2024)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Chellamani
For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 706 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.9588 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / A1, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.06.2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c)r/w. 20(b)(ii)(c) and 29(1) of NDPS Act in Crime No.706 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused were found in illegal possession of 39.140kgs of ganja. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent police has registered a false case against the petitioner and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that no contraband was recovered from this petitioner and the contraband was recovered from A2 only.. He would further submit that co-accused was released on bail. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.06.2024. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.9588 of 2025

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that petitioner along with other accused were found in illegal possession of 39.140kgs of ganja which is a commercial quantity. He would further submit that in this case trial has been commenced and one witness was examined. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and the fact that no contraband involved in this case is commercial quantity and the petitioner is having two previous cases and also the fact that the petitioner failed to satisfy the twin test as contemplated under Section 37 of the NDPS Act, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Hence the petition stands dismissed.

(P D B J)
26.03.2026



CRL OP(MD). No.9588 of 2025

aav

WEB COPY To

- 1.The II Additional Special Court for trial of NDPS Cases, Madurai
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
PEW Madurai City Police Station,
Madurai District
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.9588 of 2025
P. DHANABAL, J

aav

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 9588 of 2025

Date : 26.03.2026