



Rev.Apl.C.(MD)No.97 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 30.03.2026

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.JOTHIRAMAN**

**Rev.Apl.C.(MD)No.97 of 2025
in
W.A.(MD)No.788 of 2022**

Mangaleswara Gurukkal

... Review Applicant

Vs.

1.Devendra Gurukal

2.The Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Board,
Nungambakkam High Road, Chennai.

3.The Joint Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Board,
Ramnad District.

4.The Trustee,
Arulmighu Mangalanathaswamy Temple,
Thiru Uthiragosamangai,
Ramnad District.

5.Sri Bageeratha Gurukal (Died)

6.C.Rajamani Gurukal

7.B.Mangaleswara Gurukkal @ Raju



Rev.Apl.C.(MD)No.97 of 2025

8.B.Bala Subramaniya Gurukkal @ Viji

9.B.Siva Subramaniya Gurukkal @ Mathan

... Respondents

Prayer: Review Application filed under Order 47 Rule 1 r/w. Section 114 of Civil Procedure Code, to review the order dated 01-04-2025 passed by this Court in W.A(MD).No.788 of 2022.

For Review applicant : Mr.V.Pichaiya

For Respondents : Mr.T.S.Mohammed Mohideen for R1.
Mr.J.K.Jeyaseelan,
Government Advocate for R2 & R3.
Mr.S.Ramesh for R4.
Mr.H.Laxmi Shankar for R7 to R9.

* * *

JUDGMENT

(By G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.)

The case on hand pertains to Arulmighu Mangalanatha Swamy Temple at Uthirakosamangai. Vide proceedings dated 18.06.1999, time slots and turns had been allotted for the various Archagar families. Mangaleswara Gurukkal and Devendra Gurukkal were given dates/time slots from 16th to 20th of every month. Several subsequent proceedings took place and they need not be referred to in this order. Suffice it to say that Devendra Gurukkal filed WP(MD)No.5246 of 2019 for directing the



Rev.Apl.C.(MD)No.97 of 2025

authorities to allow him to perform Pooja as Archagar as per the aforesaid proceedings. The writ petition was allowed by the learned Single Judge vide order dated 29.06.2022. This writ petition was taken up along with WP(MD) No.17951 of 2017 filed by Rajamani Gurukkal and given a disposal. WP(MD)No.17951 of 2017 was however dismissed. Challenging the dismissal of his writ petition, Rajamani Gurukkal filed WA(MD)No.906 of 2022. Granting liberty to him to avail appeal remedy, his writ appeal was disposed of. Challenging the order allowing WP(MD)No.5246 of 2019, the applicant herein filed WA(MD)No.788 of 2022. We dismissed the writ appeal even though we noted that the writ petition was allowed in a non-speaking manner.

2.The learned counsel for the review applicant reiterated all the contentions set out in the grounds of review. But we are not swayed by his submissions. This is for more than one reason. Devendra Gurukkal merely wanted enforcement of the proceedings issued in his favour. Those proceedings were not challenged by the review applicant. In the writ petition filed by Devendra Gurukkal, the review applicant was very much a party. The review applicant did not file any counter affidavit. At



Rev.Apl.C.(MD)No.97 of 2025

no point of time, the review applicant opposed the allotment and tile slot of Devendra Gurukkal. That is why, we dismissed the review applicant's writ appeal. No ground has been made out for reviewing our order. There is no merit in this review application. It stands dismissed. No costs.

**(G.R.S. J.) & (M.J.R. J.)
30.03.2026**

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
ias

To:

- 1.The Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Board,
Nungambakkam High Road, Chennai.
- 2.The Joint Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Board,
Ramnad District.



WEB COPY



Rev.Apl.C.(MD)No.97 of 2025



WEB COPY



Rev.Apl.C.(MD)No.97 of 2025

G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.
and
M.JOTHIRAMAN, J.

ias

Rev.Apl.C.(MD)No.97 of 2025
in
W.A.(MD)No.788 of 2022

30.03.2026