



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8804 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 07.05.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.8804 of 2026

1.Muniyandi

2.Devi

... Petitioners/ A1 and A2

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu, Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Kulathur Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.143 / 2026)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Sujai Krishna

For Respondent : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.143 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8804 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1) and 351(2) of the B.N.S., 2023, in Crime No.143 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant and the petitioners belong to the same village. The de-facto complainant had availed a loan to the tune of Rs.25,000/- on behalf of the petitioners from a private financial institution, namely RPL, and as per the agreement, the petitioners were required to pay a sum of Rs.1,300/- per month as instalment to the de-facto complainant. However, the petitioners failed to pay the said amount. Consequently, the financial institution contacted the de-facto complainant for repayment. Aggrieved by the same, the de-facto complainant went to the house of the petitioners and requested them to pay the instalments without fail, which resulted in a wordy quarrel. In the course of the said quarrel, the petitioners allegedly attacked the de-facto complainant with a wooden log and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8804 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that there was a wordy quarrel between the parties arising out of a money dispute, and that investigation is under progress. It is further submitted that the petitioners have no previous case and the injured persons have been discharged from the hospital.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that there is a wordy quarrel between the parties arising out of a money dispute and also considering the fact that the petitioners have no previous case and the injured persons have already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8804 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vilathikulam, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy was made ready and on further conditions that:

[a] The petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

[b] the first petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation. The second petitioner shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8804 of 2026

investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

(S S Y J)
07.05.2026

smn2

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Kulathur Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8804 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY, J.

smn2

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD)No.8804 of 2026**

07.05.2026

Page 6 of 6