



CRL OP(MD). No.9317 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.9317 of 2025

Vikky@ Vigneshwaran

...Petitioner/A4

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
S.S.Colony Police Station,
Madurai District
(Crime No. 621 of 2024)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Packiaraj
For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 621 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.9317 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / A4, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 24.09.2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w. 20(b)(ii) (C) and Section 29(1) of NDPS Act in Crime No.625 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused were found in illegal possession of 24.500kgs of ganja. . Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent police has registered a false case against the petitioner and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that no contraband was recovered from this petitioner and no previous case is pending against the petitioner. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 24.09.2024. He would also submit that yet another case is registered against the petitioner by the very same police on the very same day. He would also submit that co-accused was released by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.9317 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that petitioner along with other accused were found in illegal possession of 24.500kgs of ganja which is a commercial quantity. He would further submit that another case is pending against the petitioner. There are materials available as against the petitioner. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and also the considering the fact that no contraband was recovered from this petitioner and this petitioner has been implicated as an accused based on the confession given by the co-accused and though the learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that yet another case is pending against the petitioner that case was registered on the same day by the same police and also considering that A1 to A3 were granted bail by this Court and also taking into consideration the period of incarceration suffered by the petitioner and apart from simultaneous First Information



CRL OP(MD). No.9317 of 2025

Report no other cases are pending against the petitioner and now the investigation has been completed and final report filed before the concerned Court this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Principal Special Court for trial of NDPS Act Cases, Madurai and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on all working days at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 pm., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the



CRL OP(MD). No.9317 of 2025

facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
06.04.2026

aav

To

- 1.The Principal Special Court for trial of NDPS Act Cases, Madurai
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
SS Colony Police Station
Madurai City
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.9317 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J

aav

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 9317 of 2025

Date : 06.04.2026