



CRL OP(MD). No.8867 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date :24.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.8867 of 2026

Pradeep Babu Ramachandran

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
CCD-III District Cyber Crime
Tirunelveli
(Crime No. 05 of 2025)

...Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.N.Anandakumar
For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubrmanian
Counsel for the State of Tamil Nadu

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 05 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.8867 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A2, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 205,366(3), 338 , 318(4) of BNS and Section 66D of Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008 INF C in Crime No. 05 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with others involved in fraudulent activities in the online network with their associates in Mumbai and cheated the defacto complainant to the tune of Rs.68,20,000/- the money. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and no previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that the petitioner along with others involved in fraudulent activities in the

2/5



CRL OP(MD). No.8867 of 2026

online network with their associates in Mumbai and cheated the defacto

complainant to the tune of Rs.68,20,000/- and the amount involved in this

case is huge. He would further submit that already Look Out Circular notice

was issued as against the petitioner and the investigation is still pending.

Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the gravity of offence and also the fact that huge amount involved in this case and the investigation is not yet completed and also the fact that already Look Out Circular was issued as against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Hence the petition stands dismissed.

(P D B J)
24.06.2026

aav



CRL OP(MD). No.8867 of 2026

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
CCD-III District Cyber Crime
Tirunelveli

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.8867 of 2026
P. DHANABAL, J

aav

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8867 of 2026

Date :24.06.2026