



CrI.O.P(MD)No.8852 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 07.05.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CrI.O.P(MD)No.8852 of 2026

S.Chaindrasekar

... Petitioner / Sole Accused

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Srivaigundam,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.1 of 2026)

... Respondent / Complainant

For Petitioner

: Mr.P.Banuprasath

For Respondent

: Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.1 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.8852 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 5(L) & 6 of POCSO Act and Section 351(2) of BNS Act, 2023 in Crime No.01 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the victim / defacto complainant was studying 11th standard. The defacto complainant had well known the petitioner and used his vehicle for purchasing essential things from shop. On 13.01.2026, the defacto complainant came to the petitioner's house and asked two wheeler key. At that time, the accused called her to inside the house and misbehaved her and forcibly had a sexual intercourse with her and also threatened her with dire consequences. Hence the present case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution.



CrI.O.P(MD)No.8852 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the responent submitted that this is the second anticipatory bail application and that earlier anticipatory bail petition was dismissed by this Court in CrI.O.P.(MD)No.5426 of 2026 on 16.03.2025. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. On perusal of the statement given by the victim under Section 164 of Cr.P.C., it is seen that the petitioner has given a juice to the victim and thereafter, committed penetrative sexual assault.

6. Considering the submission of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and also considering the nature of the offence and also considering the age of the victim girl, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. This Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

07.05.2026

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
rmi

3/4



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P(MD)No.8852 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY, J.

rmi

To

The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Srivaigundam,
Thoothukudi District.

CrI.O.P(MD)No.8852 of 2026

07.05.2026

4/4